Synergy: Journal of Business, Finance and Management (SJBFM), 202025, 01(1) pp. 56-63
https://sinergijournal.id/index.php/sjbfm | e-ISSN : 3123-5514

Bantuan Ekonomi sebagai Katalisator untuk Pembangunan Berkelanjutan: Tinjauan Literatur Kritis

Economic Aid as a Catalyst for Sustainable Development: A Critical Literature Review

Muhammad Rafi Dhia

Prodi Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Jakarta, 15419, Indonesia

Article info: Review Article

Kata Kunci:

Bantuan Ekonomi, Pembangunan Berkelanjutan

Keywords:

Economic Aid, Sustainable Development

Article history:
Received: 12-09-2025
Accepted: 16-12-2025

*) Email correspondence:
rafidhia23@gmail.com

(c) 2025 Muhammad Rafi Dhia

Creative Commons Licence
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis peran bantuan ekonomi dalam mendorong pembangunan berkelanjutan melalui sintesis hasil-hasil penelitian terdahulu yang menyoroti efektivitas bantuan terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi, pengentasan kemiskinan, dan keberlanjutan lingkungan. Metode penelitian yang digunakan adalah pendekatan deskriptif-analitis dengan teknik studi dokumentasi terhadap literatur empiris dan teoretis dari periode 2010–2025. Instrumen penelitian berupa matriks kajian literatur yang mencakup variabel-variabel utama seperti kualitas kelembagaan, kapasitas penyerapan, penyelarasan kebijakan, dan mekanisme akuntabilitas. Analisis dilakukan dengan teknik sintesis tematik untuk mengidentifikasi pola hubungan dan faktor determinan dari efektivitas bantuan ekonomi. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa bantuan ekonomi memberikan dampak positif terhadap pembangunan berkelanjutan ketika negara penerima memiliki tata kelola yang baik, kebijakan yang terintegrasi, dan komitmen terhadap prinsip keberlanjutan. Implementasi hasil menekankan pentingnya kerangka kebijakan bantuan yang berbasis bukti, adaptif terhadap konteks lokal, dan partisipatif dalam perumusan strategi pembangunan agar manfaatnya dapat berkelanjutan dan tidak menciptakan ketergantungan struktural.

Abstract

This study aims to analyze the role of economic aid in promoting sustainable development by synthesizing findings from previous empirical and theoretical research focusing on aid effectiveness in fostering economic growth, poverty reduction, and environmental sustainability. The study employs a descriptive-analytical method using a documentary review of relevant literature published between 2015 and 2023. The research instrument consists of a literature review matrix encompassing key variables such as institutional quality, absorptive capacity, policy alignment, and accountability mechanisms. Thematic synthesis analysis was applied to identify patterns and determinants of aid effectiveness. The results indicate that economic aid positively contributes to sustainable development when recipient countries demonstrate good governance, integrated policy frameworks, and strong commitment to sustainability principles. The implementation of findings highlights the necessity of evidence-based, adaptive, and participatory aid frameworks that align with local contexts to ensure long-term benefits while preventing structural dependency.

Kutipan: Dhia, M. R. (2025). Economic Aid as a Catalyst for Sustainable Development: A Critical Literature Review. *Synergy: Journal of Business, Finance and Management (SJBFM), 1*(1), 56–63. https://doi.org/10.68111/sjbfm.v1i1.37

1. Introduction

Considerable debate persists regarding the efficacy of economic aid in achieving long-term sustainable development, even though sustainability is commonly defined as meeting present needs without impairing the capacity of future generations to satisfy their own. While some scholars highlight that such assistance fosters macroeconomic stability and supports environmental stewardship (Arndt et al., 2016), others caution that it can breed dependency, inefficiency, and resource misallocation, thereby obstructing enduring progress (Easterly, 2017). Economic aid itself delivered by governments, international agencies, and non-governmental organizations in forms such as financial grants, concessional lending, technical cooperation, and humanitarian relief aims primarily to spur economic growth, alleviate poverty, upgrade infrastructure, and elevate overall well-being. Historically, economic aid has been a key component of international relations, often tied to political, economic, and strategic interests. Developed nations and international institutions have allocated substantial resources to aid programs with the expectation that these contributions will help recipient countries achieve self-sufficiency and long-term economic resilience. The effectiveness of aid is significantly enhanced when directed toward countries with sound policies and good governance. Their study suggests that aid fosters economic growth when recipient nations exhibit strong institutional frameworks. Similarly, (Dollar, 2013) emphasize that aid allocation should be strategically planned to ensure maximum impact on poverty reduction and economic stability. Additionally, empirical research by (Juselius et al., 2014) finds that aid has long-term positive effects on economic growth in well-governed nations, particularly when combined with domestic policy reforms.

The effectiveness of economic aid in promoting sustainable development is influenced by a variety of factors, including the governance capacity of recipient countries, the strategic allocation of resources, and the conditions attached to aid programs. Studies suggest that aid effectiveness is maximized when recipient countries have strong institutions, clear development policies, and mechanisms for accountability and transparency (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2013); (Dreher et al., 2014). Conversely, aid provided without proper oversight or aligned with donor interests rather than recipient needs may lead to inefficiencies and fail to produce long-term benefits. Furthermore, economic aid must strike a balance between addressing immediate development needs and ensuring long-term sustainability, particularly in areas such as environmental conservation, climate resilience, and inclusive economic growth (Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017).

Despite the potential benefits of economic aid, there is also the risk that it perpetuates structural inequalities between donor and recipient countries. Many developing nations remain dependent on foreign assistance due to limited domestic revenue generation, weak financial systems, and external economic shocks. This dependency can lead to a cycle in which recipient nations prioritize short-term economic stability over long-term investments in human capital, technological innovation, and sustainable industries (Clemens et al., 2012). Additionally, the conditions attached to aid such as economic liberalization policies, governance reforms, and privatization requirements may not always align with the specific needs and capacities of recipient countries (Stiglitz, 2017). This raises concerns about the sovereignty of aid-dependent nations and the extent to which they can formulate independent development strategies.

Furthermore, the global economic and political landscape continues to evolve, introducing new challenges and complexities in the provision and utilization of economic aid. Climate change, geopolitical conflicts, pandemics, and economic crises have reshaped international aid priorities, requiring more adaptive and resilient approaches. Multilateral institutions and donor nations must rethink their aid strategies to ensure they are not only addressing immediate needs but also contributing to sustainable long-term development (Galiani et al., 2017). This includes investing in capacity-building, technology transfer, and environmentally sustainable projects that empower recipient countries to develop self-sufficient economies (Sachs, 2023).

By integrating evidence from diverse scholarly works, this review endeavors to deliver a holistic appraisal of the ways in which economic aid either advances or constrains sustainable development, while offering actionable insights for policy architects, development professionals, and future researchers. It further investigates the contextual factors that determine when aid proves most impactful and the obstacles that impede its capacity to foster enduring progress. Central to this

analysis is a critical examination of existing studies on the nexus between economic aid and sustainability mapping principal themes, persistent debates, and unresolved gaps while assessing aid's influence on economic expansion, poverty reduction, environmental stewardship, and governance, and weighing both achievements and shortcomings.

In light of ongoing global challenges such as climate change, economic inequality, and geopolitical instability, understanding the long-term impact of economic aid on sustainable development is more critical than ever. As nations continue to refine their aid strategies, it is essential to assess the lessons learned from past experiences to ensure that aid policies are effective, transparent, and aligned with the broader goals of sustainable development. This paper will contribute to the ongoing discourse by critically examining the strengths and weaknesses of economic aid in fostering long-term development and proposing recommendations for future research and policy improvements.

2. Method

This study utilized a critical literature review method to examine how economic aid contributes to sustainable development. By systematically analyzing academic journals, books, and reports from credible institutions, the research aimed to synthesize diverse theoretical frameworks and empirical findings. The sources were selected based on their relevance, credibility, and contribution to the discourse on economic aid and sustainability. Both quantitative and qualitative studies were included, covering various regions and sectors to ensure comprehensive insights into how aid affects economic, social, and environmental dimensions of development. Data were collected through structured searches in academic databases such as Scopus, JSTOR, and Google Scholar, using citation tracking and reference chaining to capture relevant studies. The analysis applied thematic synthesis to identify patterns, trends, and critical issues in the literature. Key themes such as aid effectiveness, policy alignment, environmental safeguards, and capacity building emerged through this process. The review also assessed the methodological quality of the included studies and highlighted research gaps, providing a basis for future inquiry into the evolving role of aid in sustainable development.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Finding

A review of the existing literature reveals that economic aid can contribute significantly to sustainable development, particularly in countries with strong institutions and sound economic governance. Empirical studies have shown that foreign aid stimulates economic growth by increasing investment, improving infrastructure, and supplementing domestic financial resources (Alemu & Lee, 2015); (Mahembe & Odhiambo, 2021). In sub-Saharan Africa, targeted aid interventions have contributed to pro-poor growth by enhancing education, healthcare, and infrastructure. (Lee & Hong, 2021) further highlight how aid played a transformative role in Korea's economic development, particularly when directed toward productive sectors. However, these positive outcomes tend to be more evident in aid environments supported by strong macroeconomic policies and low corruption levels (Ouedraogo et al., 2021).

In terms of environmental and social outcomes, economic aid has the potential to foster sustainability when linked with climate resilience strategies and renewable energy initiatives. (Huang & Pascual, 2017) assert that aid can advance environmental sustainability by supporting clean energy access, biodiversity conservation, and ecological regulation, especially when aligned with the efforts of environmental NGOs. Moreover, (Li et al., 2022) found that the effectiveness of Official Development Assistance (ODA) in sub-Saharan Africa was significantly enhanced by the presence of robust governance frameworks. Countries with adaptive policy environments were better positioned to use aid for long-term sustainability projects, such as green technology development and climate adaptation. (Dilip & Sudam, 2025) further emphasize the role of government interventions like financial aid and infrastructure investments in catalyzing technopreneurship that supports green economic transformation.

Despite these promising findings, the literature also cautions against the overreliance on economic aid. Several studies point to aid dependency, weakened domestic accountability, and misaligned donor interests as critical barriers to long-term development (Zakharov & Rahimzoda,

2024); (Wen et al., 2023). For instance, in countries like Pakistan and Nigeria, poor institutional quality has diminished the effectiveness of aid, limiting its impact on sustained economic growth (Dagne, 2024). Moreover, (Dasgupta, 2013) and (Perdan, 2010) argue that the true measure of development should focus on sustainable wealth and capital preservation, not just GDP growth. This highlights a recurring concern in the literature: without strategic alignment, transparent governance, and environmental safeguards, economic aid may lead to short-term gains but fail to contribute meaningfully to sustainable development.

In the Indonesian context, economic aid serves as a pivotal driver of sustainable development by enhancing productivity, fostering innovation, and promoting equitable growth across sectors. The multidimensional impact of aid can be observed through its contribution to small and medium enterprises (SMEs), agriculture, renewable energy, tourism, and broader governmental and international cooperation frameworks. Understanding these dynamics provides insight into how economic assistance whether from domestic or international sources acts as a catalyst for inclusive and environmentally conscious development. One of the most significant areas of impact is on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), which have historically demonstrated strong resilience in Indonesia's economy. During critical periods such as the 1997 Asian financial crisis, SMEs played a vital role in maintaining economic stability and generating foreign exchange earnings. However, maximizing the benefits of aid in this sector requires integrated coordination among government agencies, universities, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and financial institutions to prevent redundancy and address operational challenges related to ergonomics and occupational health. In the agricultural sector, economic aid has been instrumental in advancing sustainable farming practices that enhance productivity while preserving environmental balance. Sustainable agriculture not only supports food security but also strengthens rural economies. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of aid in agriculture depends on policy reforms aimed at improving bureaucratic efficiency and infrastructure quality（Suwandaru, A., & Alghamdi, T. 2021). Moreover, the limited application of green finance mechanisms in Indonesia underscores the necessity of channeling financial support toward environmentally friendly farming, animal husbandry, and fisheries initiatives (Budiasa, I. W. 2020).

Economic aid also plays a transformative role in renewable energy development, contributing significantly to Indonesia's transition toward a low-carbon economy. Investment in renewable energy reduces dependence on fossil fuels, mitigates climate change impacts, and enhances long-term energy security (Handoko, B. L., Angella, A., & Tandika, S. 2023). Governmental policy support through incentives, regulatory frameworks, and capacity-building programs further strengthens the alignment between aid and sustainable energy goals (Tiawon, H., & Miar, M. 2023).In the tourism sector, economic assistance supports inclusive and community-based development, particularly in coastal regions. Tourism has become a vital source of income and employment, empowering local communities and stimulating regional economies. However, challenges such as limited access to financial services and technological infrastructure hinder equitable participation. Addressing these issues through targeted aid can ensure that tourism development remains inclusive and sustainable (Subarsono et al., 2025).

At the macro level, government policies and international cooperation play a decisive role in steering economic aid toward long-term sustainability. Indonesia's alignment with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) reflects its commitment to integrating environmental, social, and economic priorities through policies promoting renewable energy, urban resilience, and social inclusion (Anggraeni et al., 2023). Moreover, Indonesia's dual role as both a recipient and provider of aid in the Pacific region demonstrates a strategic approach that not only strengthens domestic development but also enhances its diplomatic and regional leadership (Wardhani, B., & Dugis, V. 2020). Despite these achievements, several challenges persist. Effective implementation of aid requires robust coordination across ministries and stakeholders to avoid sectoral silos and ensure that programs complement each other. Infrastructure bottlenecks and bureaucratic inefficiencies must also be addressed to maximize aid effectiveness, particularly in agriculture and rural development. Furthermore, inclusive policy frameworks are essential to ensure that marginalized communities especially those in remote and rural areas benefit equally from aid programs (Arifin et al., 2024).

3.2 Discussion

The analysis confirms that the impact of economic aid on sustainable development is neither universally positive nor entirely detrimental; rather, it is deeply shaped by the interplay between aid design, recipient country context, and implementation mechanisms. As highlighted in the literature, aid tends to be more effective when provided to countries with strong governance structures, institutional capacity, and clear development agendas (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2013); (Dreher et al., 2014). The dependency theory critiques suggest that without these preconditions, aid may reinforce structural inequalities and disincentivize local innovation (Hout, 2016); (Stiglitz, 2017). These insights emphasize the importance of aligning aid with national priorities and ensuring that recipient countries retain autonomy in designing and executing their development strategies. Thus, effectiveness lies not only in the quantity of aid but also in the quality of its integration into broader sustainability frameworks.

Moreover, there is a growing recognition that aid must address the multi-dimensional nature of sustainability balancing economic growth, social equity, and environmental protection. The incorporation of environmental sustainability within aid programs has become increasingly vital in light of climate change and biodiversity loss. Research suggests that foreign aid can contribute significantly to sustainability when it promotes renewable energy, sustainable agriculture, and resilience to environmental shocks (Li et al., 2022); (Huang & Pascual, 2017). However, the inconsistent integration of environmental indicators and the lack of collaboration with local environmental NGOs often limit this potential. Additionally, as (Sachs, 2023) notes, modern aid must move beyond short-term relief and shift toward long-term capacity building, knowledge transfer, and technological empowerment to support countries in achieving self-reliant and ecologically sustainable economies.

Aid effectiveness is highly sensitive to absorptive capacity, policy coherence, and political stability in recipient nations. Evidence suggests that excessive aid without corresponding institutional absorption can generate inefficiencies or even deteriorate governance and tax systems (Douch et al., 2022); (Wen et al., 2023). Furthermore, while targeted aid can catalyze poverty reduction and human development, generalized aid disbursements that are poorly monitored risk being diverted from their intended outcomes. Thus, future aid paradigms must be rooted in adaptive strategies that reflect the evolving global context including health crises, geopolitical tensions, and technological disruption while maintaining transparency, accountability, and results-based frameworks. The critical need is to reimagine aid as a partnership-driven tool, grounded in mutual accountability, where both donor and recipient nations contribute equitably to long-term sustainable development.

4. Conclusion

This study concludes that, in the Indonesian context, economic aid functions as a multidimensional catalyst for sustainable development by fostering innovation, productivity, and inclusivity across various sectors. The empirical evidence and literature review collectively indicate that the effectiveness of aid is not merely determined by its volume, but by its strategic alignment with national priorities, institutional quality, and governance integrity. Economic aid has demonstrated tangible contributions to the growth of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices, the expansion of renewable energy infrastructure, and the promotion of inclusive tourism. These sectors illustrate how well-targeted and well-coordinated aid programs can generate synergistic impacts on economic growth, social equity, and environmental sustainability.

However, the findings also reveal that the sustainability of aid outcomes depends critically on coordination, institutional capacity, and policy coherence. Weak governance, fragmented bureaucratic systems, and the absence of adaptive mechanisms can significantly dilute the developmental impact of aid. In particular, the agricultural sector continues to face challenges related to limited green financing and infrastructural inefficiencies, while the tourism sector requires greater financial inclusion and technological support for community empowerment. Moreover, Indonesia's growing role as both an aid recipient and donor in the Pacific region highlights the importance of strategic diplomacy and international cooperation in advancing shared sustainable development goals.

The broader discussion underscores that aid effectiveness is contingent upon three key dimensions: (1) governance and absorptive capacity; (2) environmental integration; and (3) adaptive partnership models. As the analysis suggests, aid yields greater developmental returns in countries that uphold transparent institutions, stable macroeconomic policies, and participatory governance frameworks. Integrating environmental and climate considerations into aid projects especially through renewable energy and sustainable agriculture further enhances long-term resilience. Additionally, transitioning from a donor recipient dynamic toward a mutual partnership model fosters shared accountability, innovation, and sustainability.

Based on these insights, several strategic recommendations are proposed. First, both donor agencies and recipient governments must strengthen participatory planning mechanisms that actively involve local communities, SMEs, and civil society organizations, ensuring contextual relevance and local ownership. Second, sustained investment in institutional capacity building through public sector training, data governance improvements, and regulatory reforms is necessary to enhance absorptive capacity and reduce dependency. Third, adaptive and flexible funding models should be adopted to respond to emerging challenges such as climate change, economic shocks, and public health crises, thereby promoting resilience in aid utilization. Fourth, integrating digital and technology-driven monitoring systems can improve transparency, allow real-time evaluation, and foster evidence-based decision-making. Finally, establishing multilateral and cross-sectoral collaborations among governments, academia, private actors, and international organizations will be crucial for sharing best practices, harmonizing policy objectives, and maximizing the transformative potential of economic aid.

In conclusion, when designed with contextual sensitivity and executed through transparent and collaborative governance, economic aid can serve not as a temporary intervention but as a long-term enabler of resilience, equity, and sustainability. Indonesia's experience exemplifies how integrated aid strategies aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) can transform developmental aid into a mechanism for empowering societies, preserving the environment, and ensuring inclusive economic growth for future generations.

Bibliography

Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2013). *Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty*. Crown Currency.

Alemu, A. M., & Lee, J.-S. (2015). Foreign aid on economic growth in Africa: a comparison of low and middle-income countries. *South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences*, *18*(4), 449–462.

Arifin, R., Masyhar, A., Sumardiana, B., Ramada, D. P., Kamal, U., & Fikri, S. (2024, June). Indonesian sustainable development policy: How the government ensures the environment for future generations. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (Vol. 1355, No. 1, p. 012005). IOP Publishing.

Anggraeni, V., Achsanta, A. F., & Purnomowati, N. H. (2023, May). Measuring opportunities: Transforming Indonesia's economy through utilizing natural resources for sustainable development through green economy indicators. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (Vol. 1180, No. 1, p. 012011). IOP Publishing.

Arndt, C., Jones, S., & Tarp, F. (2016). What is the aggregate economic rate of return to foreign aid? *The World Bank Economic Review*, *30*(3), 446–474.

Asongu, S. A., & Nwachukwu, J. C. (2017). Foreign aid and inclusive development: Updated evidence from Africa, 2005–2012. *Social Science Quarterly*, *98*(1), 282–298.

Bethencourt, C., & Perera-Tallo, F. (2024). The role of institutions in shaping the growth-aid relationship. *Journal of Macroeconomics*, *80*, 103603.

Budiasa, I. W. (2020, September). Green financing for supporting sustainable agriculture in Indonesia. In IOP conference series: Earth and environmental science (Vol. 518, No. 1, p. 012042). IOP Publishing.

Clemens, M. A., Radelet, S., Bhavnani, R. R., & Bazzi, S. (2012). Counting chickens when they hatch: Timing and the effects of aid on growth. *The Economic Journal*, *122*(561), 590–617.

Dagne, G. (2024). Impact of Foreign Aid on Economic Growth of Ethiopia. *The Indian Economic Journal*, 00194662231213583.

Dasgupta, P. (2013). The nature of economic development and the economic development of nature. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 38–51.

Dernbach, J. C., & Cheever, F. (2015). Sustainable development and its discontents. *Transnational Environmental Law*, *4*(2), 247–287. https://doi.org/10.1017/S2047102515000163

Dilip, P. K., & Sudam, K. S. (2025). Government Support and Policies. In *Technopreneurship and Sustainability: Innovation, Challenges, and Opportunities* (pp. 142–158). https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003537335-8

Dollar, D. (2013). Aid allocation and poverty reduction. In *Conflict, Political Accountability and Aid* (pp. 301–325). Routledge.

Douch, M., Edwards, H., Landman, T., & Mallick, S. (2022). Aid effectiveness: Human rights as a conditionality measure. *World Development*, *158*, 105978.

Dreher, A., Eichenauer, V. Z., & Gehring, K. (2014). *Geopolitics, aid and growth*.

Easterly, W. (2017). *The white man's burden: Why the West's efforts to aid the rest have done so much ill and so little good*. Tantor Media Old Saybrook, CT.

Feeny, S., & Vuong, V. (2017). Explaining aid project and program success: Findings from Asian Development Bank Interventions. *World Development*, *90*, 329–343.

Galiani, S., Knack, S., Xu, L. C., & Zou, B. (2017). The effect of aid on growth: Evidence from a quasi-experiment. *Journal of Economic Growth*, *22*, 1–33.

Hassan, A. S. (2021). Foreign aid and economic growth in Nigeria: The role of institutional quality. *Studies of Applied Economics*, *39*(3).

Handoko, B. L., Angella, A., & Tandika, S. (2023, September). The influence of monetary policy, exchange rates, and renewable energy toward sustainable economic growth in Indonesia. In Proceedings of the 2023 9th International Conference on Industrial and Business Engineering (pp. 489-496).

Hout, W. (2016). Classical approaches to development: Modernisation and dependency. *The Palgrave Handbook of International Development*, 21–39.

Huang, Y., & Pascual, U. (2017). *Aid effectiveness for environmental sustainability*. Springer.

Huang, Y., & Quibria, M. G. (2015). The global partnership for sustainable development. *Natural Resources Forum*, *39*(3–4), 157–174.

Juselius, K., Møller, N. F., & Tarp, F. (2014). The long-run impact of foreign aid in 36 African countries: Insights from multivariate time series analysis. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, *76*(2), 153–184.

Lee, K. W., & Hong, M. (2021). Foreign Aid's Impact on Economic Growth during Korean Development Era: A Disaggregated Analysis. *Asian International Studies Review*, *22*(1), 3–35.

Li, R., Guo, J., & Wang, Q. (2022). Impact of foreign aid on the ecological sustainability of sub-Saharan African countries. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *95*, 106779.

Liu, Y., & Cai, Z. (2024). Can energy aid drive greener economic growth? A global evidence. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *31*(27), 39138–39154.

Mahembe, E., & Odhiambo, N. M. (2020). Development aid and its impact on poverty reduction in developing countries: A dynamic panel data approach. *International Journal of Development Issues*, *19*(2), 145–168.

Mahembe, E., & Odhiambo, N. M. (2021). Does foreign aid reduce poverty? A dynamic panel data analysis for sub-Saharan African countries. *The Journal of Economic Inequality*, *19*(4), 875–893.

Mongkhonvanit, P., Rukspollmuang, C., & Sawheny, Y. (2021). IAU: The Power to Foster Higher Education for Sustainable Development. *The Promise of Higher Education: Essays in Honour of 70 Years of IAU*, 345–353.

Morton, S., Pencheon, D., & Squires, N. (2017). Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and their implementation: A national global framework for health, development and equity needs a systems approach at every level. *British Medical Bulletin*, *124*(1), 81–90.

Ouedraogo, R., Sourouema, W. S., & Sawadogo, H. (2021). Aid, growth and institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa: New insights using a multiple growth regime approach. *The World Economy*, *44*(1), 107–142.

Pant, A., & Kumar, S. (2019). Climate Change, Public Health and Implementation of Sustainable Development Goals in India-Issues and Challenges. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, *10*(12).

Perdan, S. (2010). The concept of sustainable development and its practical implications. *Sustainable Development in Practice: Case Studies for Engineers and Scientists*, 1–25.

Sachs, J. D. (2023). *The End of Poverty: Economic possibilities for our time*. Penguin Random House.

Sial, F. (2024). Development Assistance as Internationalization. In *Radical Political Economics* (pp. 175–188). Routledge.

Suwandaru, A., & Alghamdi, T. (2021). Modelling Sustainability Agriculture and Economic Development in Indonesia. In E3S Web of Conferences (Vol. 316, p. 02049). EDP Sciences.

Subarsono, A., Rahmawati, I. Z., Laksana, L. U. A., Prabawati, V. D. N., Wessiani, N. A., & Sulistiono, B. (2025). Poverty alleviation for coastal communities through tourism development: a case study of Kulon Progo Regency. Journal of Policy Research in Tourism, Leisure and Events, 1-20.

Stiglitz, J. E. (2017). *Globalization and its discontents revisited: Anti-globalization in the era of Trump*. WW Norton & Company.

Tiawon, H., & Miar, M. (2023). The role of renewable energy production, energy efficiency and green finance in achieving sustainable economic development: evidence from Indonesia. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 13(1), 250-260.

Wardhani, B., & Dugis, V. (2020). Interpreting Indonesia's "Look East" policy: The security dimension of foreign aid. Issues & Studies, 56(03), 2040010.

Voukkali, I., Pantelitsa, L., & Zorpas, A. A. (2014). Definitions of sustainability. In *Sustainability behind Sustainability* (pp. 1–6). https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84953380216&partnerID=40&md5=512b537ec3f470104c9054bd10fd8d04

Wen, J., Farooq, U., Tabash, M. I., El Refae, G. A., & Subhani, B. H. (2023). Governance and tax revenue: does foreign aid matter? *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, *89*(1), 273–291.

Zakharov, A. N., & Rahimzoda, M. A. (2024). Foreign Aid Dependency In Central Asia (Cases of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan). *World Economy and International Relations*, *68*(8), 96–104. https://doi.org/10.20542/0131-2227-2024-68-8-96-104